

that it had been calculated that the total amount of sunlight photons falling upon the Empire State Building in New York on an average summer day would be equal in mass to about three sheets of typing paper, (approx. 10gm.) which is a very small amount. Yet, according to the Relativity Theory, it should be infinite, since the light has struck the building at the critical velocity.

Does this not mean that the theory is invalid on that point, and that the alien spacecraft could, if necessary, exceed this velocity after all?

If so, this might put it into the category of "paraphysical" phenomena, as Mr. Kaneko believes, since the time dilation effects of near-light velocities predicted by Einstein have been proved correct by the study of comparative half-life measurements of identical radioactive substances, using a centrifuge technique.

Thus, what might seem like ten thousand years on earth might seem a matter of hours or days to the interstellar astronauts, so they would, almost literally "have all the time in the world" to reach us. Maybe, if there is a flaw in my argument, Mr. Kaneko or another reader will be able to enlighten me as to what it is.

At any rate, is it really logical to automatically assume that, just because a few of these craft have crashed, they would be incapable of interstellar flight?

It is a bit like saying that the American-manned lunar flights of the late sixties and early seventies were completely foolproof. But we all recall, surely, the hazards that were faced by the crew of Apollo 13!

Yours sincerely,
Maurice G. Jackson
26 New North Road,
Attleborough, Norfolk.
October 8, 1980.

CIA cigar?

Dear Sir, — I wonder if any other FSR reader noticed an interesting article in the *Sun* newspaper a few weeks ago? Apparently the CIA admitted that the U.S.A. had a 50 to 60ft. long aircraft, which is invisible to radar, and which had flown operationally over the U.S.S.R. This left me with three interesting thoughts.

1. No longer can the USAF debunk visual sightings of UFOs should there be no radar traces to back them up;
2. Did the technology for this evolve from a crashed UFO retrieval?
3. The "Philadelphia Experiment" must surely be seen in a new light with the disclosure of this information.

Yours faithfully
I. M. Hammond
7 Eaton Road,
Boston, Lincolnshire.
October 5, 1980.

A "bash" back at Mr. Clarke

Sir, — No doubt many UFOlogists (me included) would have, with great interest tuned in to ITV on November 4, 1980, for Arthur C. Clarke's "bash" at UFOs.

Mr. Clarke's conclusion was that UFOs don't exist on the evidence that earth's radar, monitoring the skies, doesn't detect them leaving or entering our earth's atmosphere.

May I comment on this conclusion: earth's radar is not programmed to pick up such objects or else it would pick up every piece of space debris, and meteors, and the screens would be full of unwanted information, so hindering the

monitoring of the all important satellites.

Having said that, it's still possible the UFOs might not even come from outside the earth (as goes the Extraterrestrial Hypothesis — ETH); they could come from inside the earth or from secret bases on it.

Many UFOs are seen entering or leaving the water (¼ of this planet is water and who knows what goes on down there). These craft are often referred to as Unidentified Submarine Objects (USO) and it should be remembered the famous Hickson and Parker abduction took place near water.

They could even come from other dimensions or from the future, who knows.

So come on Arthur C., don't dismiss UFO's on such flimsy evidence; remember the witnesses (many of whom are highly technical people — scientists included) and the photographs and films of these objects in flight.

After all that I must say that, apart from our friend's narrow-mindedness, I found the programme interesting and enjoyable — especially the report on the Livingston, near Edinburgh, incident, involving Bob Taylor, who encountered a UFO and occupants (??) in a lonely wood.

Keep up the good work on your interesting and informative magazine.

Yours sincerely
S. Leadbetter
16 Beach Road,
Fleetwood,
Lancashire, FY7 8PT.
November 29, 1980.

THE ANTHROPOMORPHIC ENTITY AT VILLA CARLOS PA2 (Continued from page 17)

his gestures in any way feminine.

g) In the opinion of María Elodia, the entity was a "being of flesh and bone." There was nothing about him to suggest that he was a mechanical doll or a robot. He did not resemble a TV image, but something completely material that was walking along in contact with the ground.

h) The fingers of his right hand and his toes emitted small beams of light of a sort of pale blue colour. The beams did not produce a luminous aura around the end of his arms and feet, but seemed to come from his fingernails and toenails — if he had any. (If he had toenails they were of course not visible, being hidden by the one-piece garment.)

These beams of light were of a pale blue shade for a distance of up to two or three centimetres from the end of each hand or foot, i.e., in the area closest to the fingers and toes, but then assumed a whitish tinge, until at about a distance of ten or fifteen centimetres the beams were

dispersed. These light beams were permanent, not intermittent.

The left hand of the entity, which grasped the sphere, did not appear to produce these beams. For when the light of the sphere was extinguished María Elodia did not see any beams coming from that hand. But the beams of light from the feet and from the right hand remained visible right up to the entity's departure from the room.

i) On the back of the right hand the entity was wearing what looked like a sort of gauntlet covering the four fingers, leaving the thumb free (Figure 15). It was of a dark brown hue and seemed to be attached to the fourth finger by a sort of ring or handle. It looked as though made of leather and was about 10 cms wide and about 2 cms deep.

j) The entity never ceased for a single moment the to-and-fro swinging movement of his left arm bearing the


(Continued on page 30)

sphere. The frequency of the swinging movement was about one second for the whole arc described by the arm, so that the sphere returned to the same position every two seconds (Figures 16 and 17).

V. Some details of the Sphere and of the Coherent Beam of Light

1) The Sphere

- a) The diameter of the sphere was about 20 cms.
- b) The entity held it with all five fingers. The eyewitness noticed no handle on it.
- c) The sphere had a glassy appearance and on its upper part (not covered by the entity's hand) there were numerous small truncated cones, each about one centimetre high. Through these protuberances came beams of pale blue light which at a distance of 15 or 20 cms then coalesced into one single beam. From that point on, the beam took on a white colouring (Figure 18).
- d) So long as the light was coming from it, the sphere looked like a pale blue glass globe. When its light was extinguished (see Phase 5) it turned to a dark blue colour, still having the appearance of glass. It was only then that the eyewitness observed the small truncated cones.
- e) In the opinion of the eyewitness, the sphere seemed to be a sort of sensor or detector, since its light apparently went out when it registered the approach of her father.



Above: the sphere, of glassy appearance and an estimated 20cm in diameter, and bearing numerous truncated cones from which came short beams of pale blue light.

2) The Coherent Beam

In addition to the details already set forth above, we think it proper to mention the following points:—

- i) The luminous beams coming from the truncated cones coalesced — along one single direction — at a distance of 15-20 cms from the point of emergence, forming a tubular beam of some 20 cms diameter. The contours of the tubular beam were perfectly defined; there was no lateral dispersal of light (Figure 18). This coherent light beam was directed straight ahead of, or behind, the entity, according to the direction in which the sphere moved (as when one points a lighted torch forwards or backwards).
- ii) Throughout the whole duration of the phenomenon, the coherent light beam maintained the same diameter along its entire length. Its light was permanent, not intermittent.
- iii) The eyewitness was unable to see whether the end of the light beam was truncated or not.
- iv) The light of the beam was far brighter than the light coming from the entity's feet and right hand.
- v) Due to the pendular motion imparted to the sphere, the light beam was not directed at one single point, but swept the various areas (walls and floor) embraced by the arc described by the entity's left hand.

During the first three phases, while the entity was standing facing the counter (and with his back to the main entrance of the motel) María Elodia noticed that when the




Figure 19




Figure 20

left arm of the entity swung the sphere towards the back, the coherent beam of light passed through the front windows of the motel and out over the street (Figure 19).

vi) When the entity approached the right-hand flank of the counter (Phase 4) the beam of light never struck María Elodia, but shone on to the wall to her left (see Figure 20).

VI. Complementary details

There are a number of additional features relating to the appearance of this humanoid entity which we think it of interest to record:—

a) The entire phenomenon was totally silent. María Elodia heard no sounds or noises whatsoever other than the voice inside her ears. Nor did she address a single word to the entity.

b) María Elodia detected no particular odour either before, during, or after the apparition.

c) Likewise she detected no sensation of either heat or cold.

d) No change was observed in the supply of electrical current to the motel.

e) The motel contained no guests at the time, the last

two having just been seen out by María Elodia at 1.02 a.m. as already stated.

f) No footprints were found anywhere.

g) No parallel phenomena were noticed in any objects or utensils in the motel (i.e., for example, sparks or flashes from metal objects, taps, door-handles, etc.) nor any telekinetic phenomena involving furniture or objects in general — the sole exceptions being the apparent displacement of María Elodia from where she had initially been standing to the counter, her two slow-motion “falls” and the closing of the side door after the entity’s departure.

h) María Elodia was not wearing a watch at the time of the episode. But she observed no irregularity in the alarm clock at which she had glanced a few minutes before the onset of the episode.

i) Over the following days nothing abnormal was observed in the growth of the plants in the motel.

j) María Elodia had taken no alcoholic drink before the happening. She was not worried or preoccupied about any sort of personal or family or economic problem.

k) Since the incident she has noticed the appearance of no mark, or wart, or special colouration of any kind anywhere on her skin.

MINI-ENTITIES AT KUANTAN AIR BASE

A newspaper account of a bizarre “believe it or not” CE 3 case in Malaysia

Ahmad Jamaludin

SOMETIME in 1975 or 1976 there was some excitement in Kuantan, Malaysia, when a strange encounter with a 3-inch-tall man-like creature was reported. The incident occurred about 9 miles from the town of Kuantan. And to add to the excitement, the small UFO which ferried the tiny entity is said to have landed right in the Royal Malaysian Air Force Base there.

There were three young witnesses to the encounter. The third witness, not mentioned in the newspaper account below, is known to be still schooling in Kuantan. I have tried to locate this witness and at this time of writing have still not succeeded. The whereabouts of the other two witnesses is not known and it is conceivable that they have moved to other Air Force installations in the country with their parents.

Until the third witness can be located for the complete story, I present below the newspaper report which was forwarded to me by a friend and with the date of the newspaper report missing. It appeared on the front page of the *New Straits Times* published in Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia. The report entitled “Believe it or Not” had unfortunately omitted to mention the UFO. I have tried to contact the reporter of this item but unfortunately he resigned a few years ago, and is now working somewhere

in the government departments. Below is the short account of the incident as it appeared in the paper.

“Kuantan, Thursday — A 3-inch-tall creature said to resemble a human being, has become the talk of the RMAF base here since its reported sighting, by a schoolboy two days ago. The story has also spread to the town.

“Paul Lazario, 9, a pupil at the RMAF Primary School said he saw the creature two days ago near a drain at the RMAF field. It was stopping to drink water, he added. ‘I caught it but it escaped from my grip and ran into some undergrowth near the drain,’ he said.

“‘It had two feelers on the head and held a steel-like rod in its hand. A pistol was hanging from its waist. It was brown in colour and looked like a man,’ he added.

“Paul said he related the incident to his friends and in the evening three of them went to the spot near the field. And according to schoolboy Neo Lee Ann, 12, all three saw the creature moving about on the area. ‘When it saw us, it ran into the undergrowth and disappeared’ he said.

“A teacher of the school, Mr. Yew Kim Guan, said when he heard the story he went to investigate. ‘There was no sign of the creature in the area, but I saw a Red